

Spatiotemporal Deformable Prototypes for Motion Anomaly Detection

Robert Bensch, Thomas Brox and Olaf Ronneberger

Department of Computer Science and BIOSS Centre for Biological Signalling Studies University of Freiburg, Germany

British Machine Vision Conference 2015 September 7-10, Swansea, UK



This study was supported by the Excellence Initiative of the German Federal and State Governments (EXC 294).

Motivation – Biological Motion Patterns



- **Goal:** Compare complex developmental growth patterns, identify differences to the wild type.
- Hard to get groundtruth in these biomedical settings

Motivation – Juggling Patterns



- 3D juggling dataset (recorded with Kinect camera)
- Normal pattern is a "3-ball cascade" juggling pattern
- Goal: Detect motion anomalies wrt. the normal pattern

Robert Bensch, University of Freiburg, Germany

FREIBURG

Related Work and Contribution





Mahadevan et al., CVPR 2010

Wu et al., CVPR 2010



- Surveillance scenarios and crowd analysis
 - Mahadevan et al., Anomaly detection in crowded scenes, CVPR 2010
 - Wu et al., Chaotic invariants of lagrangian particle trajectories for anomaly detection in crowded scenes, CVPR 2010
 - Cong et al., Sparse reconstruction cost for abnormal event detection, CVPR 2011
- Our contribution
 - Motion-based anomaly detection in a new setting and in *3D+time*
 - New method for elastic registration of motion patterns
 - New motion anomaly dataset (juggling patterns)



• Input sequence

UNI FREIBURG

UNI FREIBURG



• Compute dense point trajectories*

*Sundaram et al., Dense point trajectories by gpu-accelerated large displacement optical flow, ECCV 2010

UNI FREIBURG



- Compute dense point trajectories*
- Kinect camera (RGB-D data, depth information)
- Compute *3D+time* trajectories

*Sundaram et al., Dense point trajectories by gpu-accelerated large displacement optical flow, ECCV 2010

UNI FREIBURG



• Compute "supertrajectories" in video (similar to "supervoxels" in images)

UNI FREIBURG



• Select a supertrajectory prototype (training phase)



• Test sequence

UNI FREIBURG



• Compute supertrajectories





- Detect prototype instances (illustrated by bounding boxes)
- Rigid and elastic registration of prototype patterns (spatiotemporal)



- Detect prototype instances (illustrated by bounding boxes)
- Rigid and elastic registration of prototype patterns (spatiotemporal)
- Reconstruct the whole test sequence by prototype placements
- **Training phase:** Learn prototype model (accepted variations)
- **Test phase:** Compute anomaly scores from the deviations to the aligned prototype patterns

Approach Details

- UNI FREIBURG Supertrajectory representation
 - **Detection and elastic registration** •
 - Learning the prototype model •
 - **Detecting anomalies** •



- "Supertrajectories" serve as an efficient and robust representation
- Initialized by dense point trajectories*
- Hierarchical clustering groups trajectories in bottom-up manner
- Split the hierarchy at a certain intermediate level

*Sundaram et al., Dense point trajectories by gpu-accelerated large displacement optical flow, ECCV 2010



Motion pattern definition ۰

$$\mathbf{x}: \quad \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^3 \quad : (i,t) \mapsto \mathbf{x}(i,t), \quad i \in \{1,\dots,N\} \subset \mathbb{N}, \ t \in \mathbb{R} \\ w: \quad \Omega \to \{0,1\} \quad : (i,t) \mapsto w(i,t)$$

 $\Omega \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}$ denotes domain of trajectories *i* and time *t*



Pairwise distances between trajectories

$$d(i,j) = \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}} (w(i,t) \cdot w(j,t) \cdot \|\mathbf{x}(i,t) - \mathbf{x}(j,t)\|)$$



Supertrajectory computation

$$\mathbf{x}(i,t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sum_{i_{\text{raw}} \in \mathcal{X}_i} w_{\text{raw}}(i_{\text{raw}},t) \cdot \mathbf{x}_{\text{raw}}(i_{\text{raw}},t)}{\sum_{i_{\text{raw}} \in \mathcal{X}_i} w_{\text{raw}}(i_{\text{raw}},t)} & \text{if } w(i,t) = 1\\ \mathbf{0} & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

Detection and Elastic Registration



UNI FREIBURG

> Prototype pattern (Supertrajectories **x**^{*a*})



Test sequence (Supertrajectories x^b)

Detection and Elastic Registration



UNI FREIBURG

Prototype pattern (Supertrajectories **x**^a)



Test sequence (Supertrajectories **x**^b)

- Compute detection hypotheses
 - Fast hashing approach*, extended for spatiotemporal setting
 - Temporal shift, 3D rotation and translation
 - Outputs rigid transformation hypotheses (temporal shift t_{shift}, spatial rigid transformation T)
- Refinement by rigid and elastic registration

*Winkelbach et al., Low-cost laser range scanner and fast surface registration approach, DAGM 2006 Robert Bensch, University of Freiburg, Germany BMVC 2015, Septe

UNI FREIBURG





Prototype pattern \mathbf{x}^a

Test pattern **x**^b

UNI FREIBURG





Prototype pattern **x**^{*a*}

Test pattern \mathbf{x}^{b}

• Temporal shift, 3D rotation and translation

$$E_{\text{data}}(\mathbf{T}, t_{\text{shift}} \sigma) = \sum_{\substack{(i_b, t_b) \in \Omega_b \\ w(i_b, t_b) = 1 \\ \sigma(i_b, t_b) \neq 0}} \Psi\left(\left\| \mathbf{T} (\mathbf{x}^a (A[t_{\text{shift}}, \sigma](i_b, t_b))) - \mathbf{x}^b (i_b, t_b) \|^2 \right) + \sum_{\substack{(i_b, t_b) \in \Omega_b \\ w(i_b, t_b) = 1 \\ \sigma(i_b, t_b) \neq 0}} d_{\text{undef}}^2 \right)$$

UNI FREIBURG



Prototype pattern **x**^a

Test pattern \mathbf{x}^{b}

- Temporal shift, 3D rotation and translation
- Trajectory association function

 $\sigma:\Omega_b\to\mathbb{N}:(i_b,t_b)\to i_a$

$$E_{\text{data}}(\mathbf{T}, t_{\text{shift}}\boldsymbol{\sigma}) = \sum_{\substack{(i_b, t_b) \in \Omega_b \\ w(i_b, t_b) = 1 \\ \sigma(i_b, t_b) \neq 0}} \Psi\left(\|\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{x}^a [A[t_{\text{shift}}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}](i_b, t_b)]) - \mathbf{x}^b(i_b, t_b) \|^2 \right) + \sum_{\substack{(i_b, t_b) \in \Omega_b \\ w(i_b, t_b) = 1 \\ \sigma(i_b, t_b) \neq 0}} d_{\text{undef}}^2$$

UNI FREIBURG



Prototype pattern **x**^a

Test pattern \mathbf{x}^{b}

- Temporal shift, 3D rotation and translation
- Trajectory association function
- Minimize SSD of associated points

$$\sigma:\Omega_b\to\mathbb{N}:(i_b,t_b)\to i_a$$

$$E_{\text{data}}(\mathbf{T}, t_{\text{shift}}, \sigma) = \sum_{\substack{(i_b, t_b) \in \Omega_b \\ w(i_b, t_b) = 1 \\ \sigma(i_b, t_b) \neq 0}} \Psi \left(\|\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{x}^a(A[t_{\text{shift}}, \sigma](i_b, t_b))) - \mathbf{x}^b(i_b, t_b)\|^2 \right) + \sum_{\substack{(i_b, t_b) \in \Omega_b \\ w(i_b, t_b) = 1 \\ \sigma(i_b, t_b) \neq 0}} d_{\text{undef}}^2$$

UNI FREIBURG



Prototype pattern **x**^a

Test pattern **x**^b

 $\sigma:\Omega_b\to\mathbb{N}:(i_b,t_b)\to i_a$

- Temporal shift, 3D rotation and translation
- Trajectory association function
- Minimize SSD of associated points
- Truncated squared norm (function Ψ)
- Penalize unassociated points (*d*_{undef})

$$E_{\text{data}}(\mathbf{T}, t_{\text{shift}}, \sigma) = \sum_{\substack{(i_b, t_b) \in \Omega_b \\ w(i_b, t_b) = 1 \\ \sigma(i_b, t_b) \neq 0}} \Psi \left(\|\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{x}^a(A[t_{\text{shift}}, \sigma](i_b, t_b))) - \mathbf{x}^b(i_b, t_b)\|^2 \right) + \sum_{\substack{(i_b, t_b) \in \Omega_b \\ w(i_b, t_b) = 1 \\ \sigma(i_b, t_b) \neq 0}} d_{\text{undef}} d_{\text$$

UNI FREIBURG



• Deformation, temporal warping



Test pattern **x**^b

$\mathbf{u}(i,t)$	$: \Omega_a \to \mathbb{R}^3$
$\tau(i,t)$	$: \Omega_a \to \mathbb{R}$

UNI FREIBURG





Test pattern **x**^b

- Deformation, temporal warping
- Data term analogous to $\mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{data}}$ (T, t_{shift} , σ) $\tau(i,t)$: $\Omega_a \to \mathbb{R}$

 $\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}(i,t) &: \Omega_a \to \mathbb{R}^3 \\ \tau(i,t) &: \Omega_a \to \mathbb{R} \end{aligned}$

 $E(\mathbf{u},\tau,\sigma) = E_{\text{data}}(\mathbf{u},\tau,\sigma) + \alpha_{\text{spatial}} E_{\text{spatial}}(\mathbf{u},\tau) + \alpha_{\text{temp}} E_{\text{temp}}(\mathbf{u},\tau) + \alpha_{\text{assign}} E_{\text{assign}}(\sigma)$

UNI FREIBURG



Prototype pattern **x**^a

- Deformation, temporal warping
- **Data term** analogous to E_{data} (**T**, t_{shift} , σ) ٠
- **Smoothness terms**



Test pattern **x**^b

$\mathbf{u}(i,t)$	$: \Omega_a \to \mathbb{R}^3$
au(i,t)	$: \Omega_a \to \mathbb{R}$

 $E(\mathbf{u},\tau,\sigma) = E_{\text{data}}(\mathbf{u},\tau,\sigma) + \alpha_{\text{spatial}}E_{\text{spatial}}(\mathbf{u},\tau) + \alpha_{\text{temp}}E_{\text{temp}}(\mathbf{u},\tau) + \alpha_{\text{assign}}E_{\text{assign}}(\sigma)$

UNI FREIBURG





Test pattern **x**^b

 $\mathbf{u}(i,t)$: $\Omega_a \to \mathbb{R}^3$

 $\tau(i,t) : \Omega_a \to \mathbb{R}$

- Deformation, temporal warping
- **Data term** analogous to $E_{data}(T, t_{shift}, \sigma)$
- Spatial smoothness (across trajectories)

$$E_{\text{spatial}}(\mathbf{u},\tau) = \sum_{\substack{i,j,t\\(i,t)\in\Omega_a \land (j,t)\in\Omega_a\\w(i,t)=1 \land w(j,t)=1}} C(i,j) \cdot \left(\|\mathbf{u}(i,t) - \mathbf{u}(j,t)\|^2 + \beta_{\text{temp}}(\tau(i,t) - \tau(j,t))^2 \right)$$

 $E(\mathbf{u},\tau,\sigma) = E_{\text{data}}(\mathbf{u},\tau,\sigma) + \alpha_{\text{spatial}} E_{\text{spatial}}(\mathbf{u},\tau) + \alpha_{\text{temp}} E_{\text{temp}}(\mathbf{u},\tau) + \alpha_{\text{assign}} E_{\text{assign}}(\sigma)$

UNI FREIBURG





Test pattern **x**^b

 $\mathbf{u}(i,t)$: $\Omega_a \to \mathbb{R}^3$

- Deformation, temporal warping
- Data term analogous to $\mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{data}}$ (T, t_{shift} , σ) $\tau(i,t)$: $\Omega_a \to \mathbb{R}$
- Spatial smoothness (across trajectories)

$$E_{\text{spatial}}(\mathbf{u},\tau) = \sum_{\substack{i,j,t\\(i,t)\in\Omega_a\wedge(j,t)\in\Omega_a\\w(i,t)=1\wedge w(j,t)=1}} C(i,j) \cdot \left(\|\mathbf{u}(i,t) - \mathbf{u}(j,t)\|^2 + \beta_{\text{temp}}(\tau(i,t) - \tau(j,t))^2 \right)$$
$$C(i,j) = \exp(-d(i,j)^2/2r^2)$$
$$E(\mathbf{u},\tau,\sigma) = E_{\text{data}}(\mathbf{u},\tau,\sigma) + \alpha_{\text{spatial}}E_{\text{spatial}}(\mathbf{u},\tau) + \alpha_{\text{temp}}E_{\text{temp}}(\mathbf{u},\tau) + \alpha_{\text{assign}}E_{\text{assign}}(\sigma)$$



- UNI FREIBURG Alternating optimization
 - **1)** Assignment function σ computed by dynamic programming
 - 2) Transformation
 - **Rigid:** Procrustes algorithm ٠
 - **Elastic: L-BFGS optimization** ٠
 - => Repeated until convergence
 - Both parts solved globally optimal (convex energies) ٠

Learning the Prototype Model



- Registration of the prototype to multiple instances in the training data
- Set of rigid and elastic transformation parameters
- Statistical model

UNI FREIBURG

• Global deformations and data fitting costs

=> Defines bounds for validating prototype registrations

Residual distances

=> Locally aggregated for each prototype pattern point (residual model)

Detecting Anomalies



- Reconstruction by prototype placements (greedy search)
 - Iteratively find best placements of prototype patterns (candidates from detections)
 - Stop, if pattern is reconstructed completely (or if no candidates remain that can improve reconstruction)
- Pointwise and framewise anomaly score



Robert Bensch, University of Freiburg, Germany

Experiments – Motion Anomaly Dataset



- Recorded juggling sequences (> 10.000 frames)
- Different jugglers, viewpoints, various anomalies, background motion



• Learn a protoype model from 3 training sequences only

Robert Bensch, University of Freiburg, Germany



UNI FREIBURG







Subset A (different viewpoints)

Subset B (similar viewpoints)

- Learn a protoype model from 3 training sequences only
- Split 29 test sequences in two subsets



UNI FREIBURG







Subset A (different viewpoints)



Subset B (similar viewpoints)

- Learn a protoype model from 3 training sequences only
- Split 29 test sequences in two subsets
- Compare against Chaotic invariants (CI) for anomaly detection in crowded scenes*



*Wu et al., Chaotic invariants of lagrangian particle trajectories for anomaly detection in crowded scenes, CVPR 2010 Robert Bensch, University of Freiburg, Germany BMVC 2015, September 10 37



- Evaluate framewise anomaly detection
- ROC curves from thresholding anomaly profile



Robert Bensch, University of Freiburg, Germany

BMVC 2015, September 10 38



Method	Subset A	Subset B	All sequences
<i>CI</i> (2D) [21]	0.42	0.53	0.46
<i>CI</i> + <i>pos.</i> (2D) [21]	0.57	0.68	0.62
<i>CI</i> (3D)	0.42	0.41	0.42
<i>CI</i> + <i>pos.</i> (3D)	0.51	0.55	0.53
Our method (3D)	0.71	0.73	0.72

Robert Bensch, University of Freiburg, Germany

Anomaly Detection in Biological Patterns*



Wild type pattern (WT)

Morphant pattern (MO)

*Trajectory data from** provided by Nico Scherf (Institute for Medical Informatics and Biometry, TU Dresden) and Jan Huisken (Max Planck Institudte of Molecular Cell Biology and Genetics (MPI-CBG), Dresden), ** Schmid et al., High-speed panoramic light-sheet microscopy reveals global endodermal cell dynamics. Nat Commun, 2013 Robert Bensch, University of Freiburg, Germany BMVC 2015, September 10 40

Anomaly Detection in Biological Patterns



- Learn prototype model (3 WT patterns)
- Test on remaining 9 WT and 12 MO patterns
- Compare global anomaly scores

Results:

- 1) Significant difference between WT and MO patterns
- 2) Time scaling (MO*) partially compensates the differences

Conclusion







- New approach to motion anomaly detection
- Detect and localize subtle anomalies
- New motion anomaly dataset
- Important application area in biomedical image analysis
- **MATLAB code and datasets** (in preparation): http://lmb.informatik.uni-freiburg.de/resources/opensource/AnomalyDetection/

Acknowledgements

- Jugglers: J. Koch, A. Krämer, T. Paxian and D. Mai
- Trajectory data: Nico Scherf (TU Dresden) and Jan Huisken (MPI-CBG, Dresden)
- This study was supported by the Excellence Initiative of the German Federal and State Governments (EXC 294).



Thank you!

Anomaly Detection in

Test Sequences

Example Sequence 1

Robert Bensch, University of Freiburg, Germany

BMVC 2015, September 10